UNIVERSITY OF BATH

Department of Computer Science

CM50175: Research Project Preparation
Proposal Marks Sheet: Supervisor

Student Name:

Dissertation Title:

Supervisor: | Mark: | **/ 50**

Supervisors are asked to assist with making professional, project-specific assesments that the course marker may not be expert enough to assess. This does not require very close reading or marking of the proposal. Hopefully the supervisors will also have a more thorough discussion of the proposal with their students sometime in June, after the exams. For each question, please tick a square to indicate the level of assessment. You may also enter a numeric score if you don't like the default. Your total mark will be out of 50.

1. **Does the project described in the proposal reflect the discussions you have had with its author?**
    ☐ **Distinction (13) :** Reflects our conversations and goes significantly beyond.
    ☐ **Good (11):** Takes most conversations into account and shows thought and understanding.
    ☐ **Low Pass (9):** Seems to reflect some parts of conversation but either needed to talk more or failed to read or understand suggested material.
    ☐ **Fail (6):** Not a relevant proposal.
    ☐

2. **Is the literature review appropriate and adequate for the project? Are references current, relevant and from acceptable sources?**
    ☐ **Distinction (13):** Better than good: everything I recommended and significantly more.
    ☐ **Good (11):** Found some major references, lit. review is to the quality I would expect of a conference or workshop paper in this field.
    ☐ **Low Pass (9):** Some of what I suggested and found a few things independently.
    ☐ **Fail (6):** No more reading than what I suggested.
    ☐

3. **Is the length appropriate, and is all the content relevant?** *Note: writing the proposal is a 6 credit unit with only 20 contact hours, so the proposal should reflect at least 80 hours of self study. It should be about 8,000 words long.*
    ☐ **Distinction (13):** Looks like at least 2 person-weeks of steady, relevant effort.
    ☐ **Good (11):** Looks like 60-80 person-hours spent with at least one week done well before the deadline.
    ☐ **Low Pass (9):** A bit short, or has some irrelevant, unreferenced `filler' material.
    ☐ **Fail (6):** What I might expect from one long weekend right before the deadline.
    ☐